

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,960	05/03/2002		Eric B. Stenzel	12013/58901 1392		
23838	7590	04/21/2003				
KENYON &			EXAMINER			
WASHINGT		7., SUITE 700 20005		SIRMONS,	KEVIN C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3763	Q	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	δ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

<i>i</i>	·	4					
•	Application N		Applicant(s)	1			
	10/001,960		STENZEL, ERIC B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	ì			
	Kevin C. Sirm		3763	* 1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, h y within the statutory vill apply and will exp , cause the application	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 L</u>	December 200	<u>1</u> .		•			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	is action is nor	n-final.	•				
Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Piecesisten of Claims				merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 		teration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOM COUSE	reration.	•				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	election requir	ement					
Application Papers	oloolloll loquil	ontone.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b)∭ obj	ected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ appr	oved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office	action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been re	eceived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been re	eceived in Applicat	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		tage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication)			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •						
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 10/001,960

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I	Fig. 1	Species II	Figs. 2-4
Species III	Fig. 5	Species IV	Fig. 6
Species V	Figs. 7+8	Species VI	Figs. 9-12

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 14 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,960

Art Unit: 3763

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Fred T. Grasso on 4/17/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is 703-306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00 ALT FRI.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0000.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner April 17, 2003